

# Supplemental Material: Correcting exponentiality test for binned earthquake magnitudes

Angela Stallone, Ilaria Spassiani

## S1: Non-binned, uniformly dithered magnitudes (purely theoretical)

Let us consider the random variable (r.v.)  $M = X + Y$ , where:  $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\beta)$  and  $Y \sim \mathcal{U}(a, b)$  are respectively exponentially and uniformly distributed. The variable  $M$  represents the magnitude dithered with uniform noise  $Y$ .  $X$  is instead the r.v. distributed according to the Gutenberg-Richter (GR) relationship [Gutenberg and Richter, 1944].

The probability density distribution (PDF) of the sum is given by convolving the PDFs of the addends:

$$f_M(m) = (f_X \star f_Y)(m) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(m-z) f_Y(z) dz.$$

Since, by definition,

$$f_X(x) = \beta e^{-\beta(x-m_0)}, \quad x \geq m_0, \quad (1)$$

and

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a}, & \text{if } a < y < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

the PDF of the  $M$  variable is obtained as

$$f_M(m) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta e^{-\beta(m-z-m_0)} \frac{1}{b-a} \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}(z) dz.$$

where  $\mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}(z)$  is the indicator function equal to 1 if  $z \in (a, b)$ , 0 otherwise. Then,

$$f_M(m) = \int_a^b \beta e^{-\beta(m-z-m_0)} \frac{1}{b-a} dz = \frac{e^{-\beta(m-b-m_0)}}{b-a} [1 - e^{-\beta(b-a)}]. \quad (3)$$

If we now set  $b = -a = \frac{\Delta m}{2}$ , that is, the noise is uniformly distributed in  $(-\frac{\Delta m}{2}, \frac{\Delta m}{2})$ , we get

$$f_M(m) = \frac{1}{\Delta m} e^{-\beta(m-\frac{\Delta m}{2}-m_0)} [1 - e^{-\beta \Delta m}]$$

which, except for the multiplicative constant  $\frac{1}{\Delta m}$ , is exactly the same as that obtained for the *non-binned, dithered* case. In the limit for  $\Delta m \rightarrow 0$ , recalling that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{tx}-1}{x} = t \ln s$ , the classical exponential PDF is eventually recorded.

If we set instead  $b = 1 - a = 1$ , that is, the noise is uniformly distributed in  $(0, 1)$ , from Eq. (3) we get

$$f_M(m) = e^{-\beta(x-1)} [1 - e^{-\beta}] = q(1-q)^{x-1}, \quad (4)$$

where we have set  $x = m - m_0$  and  $q = 1 - e^{-\beta}$ . That is, the distribution is geometric with parameter (success probability)  $q$ . This proves equivalency between the case of non-binned, dithered magnitudes and non-dithered, binned magnitudes. Specifically, the first case with noise  $Y \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$  is exactly the same as the second case with magnitudes in the bin  $[0, \Delta m = 1]$  non-centered.

## S2: Check for the Residual Lifetime distribution $f_M(m)$ to be a PDF.

Let us consider the random variable  $M = M_i + Y$ , where  $M_i$  is geometrically distributed with parameter  $1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}$ , while  $Y$  is uniformly distributed in  $(-\frac{\Delta m}{2}, \frac{\Delta m}{2})$ . The Residual Lifetime function obtained for  $M$

$$f_M(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}}{\Delta m} e^{-\beta\Delta m(i-1)}, & \text{if } m \in (i_m - \frac{\Delta m}{2}, i_m + \frac{\Delta m}{2}), \quad i_M \in \mathbb{N}^+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is actually a probability density function (PDF). In fact:

1. since the three quantities  $1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}$ ,  $\Delta m$  and  $e^{-\beta\Delta m(i-1)}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are all  $> 0$ , it follows that the function  $f_M(m)$  is non-negative;
2. the total integral is 1, as we can explicitly compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} f_M(m) dm &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{i - \frac{\Delta m}{2}}^{i + \frac{\Delta m}{2}} f_M(m) dm \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}}{\Delta m} e^{-\beta\Delta m(i-1)} \left[ i + \frac{\Delta m}{2} - i + \frac{\Delta m}{2} \right] \\ &= (1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta\Delta m(i-1)} \\ &= \frac{1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}}{1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that (geometric series)  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$  for  $|x| < 1$ .

## S3: Remarks

### S3.1: Binned magnitudes

We recall that the geometric distribution has the interpretation of the number of failures in a sequence of Bernoulli trials until the first success. If we consider a regime where the probability of success is very small, such that  $p = \frac{\lambda}{N}$ , in the large  $N$  limit it can be proved that the geometric distribution converges to the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  [e.g. Feller, 1957].

### S3.2: Uniformly dithered (binned) magnitudes

To compute the limit, for  $\Delta m \rightarrow 0$ , of the function  $f_M(m)$  defined in Eq. 10 in the main text, we can consider the property  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{tx} - 1}{x} = t \ln s$ , which gives

$$\lim_{\Delta m \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}}{\Delta m} = \lim_{\Delta m \rightarrow 0} \beta \frac{e^{\beta\Delta m} - 1}{\beta\Delta m} = \beta.$$

Now, recalling that  $m - \frac{\Delta m}{2} - m_0 = \Delta m(i-1)$  (see Eqs. 1-4 in the main text), we can observe that the classical exponential PDF is recovered when the bin width  $\Delta m$  approaches 0. In this limiting case, the exponential and uniform mean points coincide within each  $\Delta m$ -bin used to group continuous magnitudes sampled from an exponential distribution. This result also follows directly from the memoryless property of exponentially distributed random variables [Marzocchi et al., 2019].

### S3.3: Exact random noise to re-obtain the exponential distribution

Since  $M_i$  is defined starting from  $i = 1$  (see Eq. 1 in the main text), while the support of  $Y$  is  $[0, \Delta m)$ , it could be more intuitive to select as non-zero term in the sum 13 in the main text the right extreme of the interval  $[k\Delta m, (k+1)\Delta m)$ , yielding  $f_M(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(M_i = k+1) f_Y(m - (k+1)\Delta m)$ , slightly more complicated calculations and obviously the same result as in Eq. 14 in the main text.

## S4: Algorithm 1

The following procedure summarizes the numerical test used to assess how the rejection probability of the Lilliefors test varies with bin width  $\Delta m$  and catalog size when magnitudes are dithered with uniform noise (see Section 1.2.2 in the main text).

---

**Algorithm 1** Lilliefors rejection probability as function of bin width and catalog size - Uniform noise

---

```

1:  $M_{\min} = 1.0$ 
2:  $\alpha = 0.1$ 
3:  $b = 1$  ( $\beta = b \cdot \ln(10)$ )
4:  $N_{\text{SIM}} = 1000$  ▷ number of simulated catalogs per ( $\Delta m$ , catalog size)
5:  $N_{\text{NOISE}} = 100$  ▷ number of independent noise realizations per catalog
6: for each bin width  $\Delta m$  do
7:   for each catalog size  $n_{\text{events}}$  do
8:      $counter = 0$ 
9:     for  $j = 1$  to  $N_{\text{SIM}}$  do ▷ Simulate one catalog
10:      for  $i = 1$  to  $n_{\text{events}}$  do ▷ Generate binned magnitudes
11:        Draw  $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\text{Pr}(k_i = k) = q(1-q)^k$ ,  $q = 1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}$ 
12:        Set  $M_i = M_{\min} + k_i \cdot \Delta m$ 
13:      end for
14:      Initialize empty list  $P$  ▷ store  $p$ -values
15:      for  $k = 1$  to  $N_{\text{NOISE}}$  do ▷ Dither magnitudes
16:        Draw noise  $\varepsilon_i \sim \mathcal{U}(-\Delta m/2, \Delta m/2)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n_{\text{events}}$ 
17:         $M_i^{\text{dithered}} = M_i + \varepsilon_i$ 
18:        Shift magnitudes:  $M_i^{\text{shifted}} = M_i^{\text{dithered}} - (M_{\min} - \Delta m/2)$ 
19:        Apply Lilliefors test:  $p_k = \text{Lilliefors}(M^{\text{shifted}}, \text{dist} = \text{exp})$ 
20:        Append  $p_k$  to  $P$ 
21:      end for
22:      Compute mean  $p$ -value:  $\bar{p} = \text{mean}(P)$ 
23:      if  $\bar{p} < \alpha$  then  $counter \leftarrow counter + 1$ 
24:      end if
25:    end for
26:    Rejection probability:  $R = 100 \cdot \frac{counter}{N_{\text{SIM}}}$ 
27:  end for
28: end for

```

---

## S5: Algorithm 2

The following procedure summarizes the numerical test described in Section 1.3.1 of the main text. Here, the binned magnitudes are dithered by adding a truncated exponential random variable supported on  $[0, \Delta m)$ .

---

**Algorithm 2** Lilliefors rejection probability as function of bin width and catalog size - Truncated exponential noise

---

```

1:  $M_{\min} = 1.0$ 
2:  $\alpha = 0.1$ 
3:  $b = 1$  (  $\beta = b \cdot \ln(10)$  )
4:  $N_{\text{SIM}} = 1000$  ▷ number of simulated catalogs per ( $\Delta m$ , catalog size)
5:  $N_{\text{NOISE}} = 100$  ▷ number of independent noise realizations per catalog
6: for each bin width  $\Delta m$  do
7:   for each catalog size  $n_{\text{events}}$  do
8:      $counter = 0$ 
9:     for  $j = 1$  to  $N_{\text{SIM}}$  do ▷ Simulate one catalog
10:      for  $i = 1$  to  $n_{\text{events}}$  do ▷ Generate binned magnitudes
11:        Draw  $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\Pr(k_i = k) = q(1 - q)^k$ ,  $q = 1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m}$ 
12:        Set  $M_i = M_{\min} + k_i \cdot \Delta m$ 
13:      end for
14:      Initialize empty list  $P$  ▷ store  $p$ -values
15:      for  $k = 1$  to  $N_{\text{NOISE}}$  do ▷ Dither magnitudes
16:        Draw noise

$$\varepsilon_i = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln\left(1 - U_i(1 - e^{-\beta\Delta m})\right), \quad U_i \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_{\text{events}}$$

17:         $M_i^{\text{dithered}} = M_i + \varepsilon_i$ 
18:        Shift magnitudes:  $M_i^{\text{shifted}} = M_i^{\text{dithered}} - M_{\min}$ 
19:        Apply Lilliefors test:  $p_k = \text{Lilliefors}(M^{\text{shifted}}, \text{dist} = \text{exp})$ 
20:        Append  $p_k$  to  $P$ 
21:      end for
22:      Compute mean p-value:  $\bar{p} = \text{mean}(P)$ 
23:      if  $\bar{p} < \alpha$  then  $counter \leftarrow counter + 1$ 
24:      end if
25:    end for
26:    Rejection probability:  $R = 100 \cdot \frac{counter}{N_{\text{SIM}}}$ 
27:  end for
28: end for

```

---

## References

- William Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Volume 2*. Number v. 1-2 in An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications. Wiley, 1957. ISBN 9780471257097. doi: 10.1137/1014119. URL <https://books.google.it/books?id=BsSwAAAAIAAJ>.
- Beno Gutenberg and Charles F. Richter. Frequency of earthquakes in California. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 34(4):185–188, 1944. doi: 10.1785/BSSA0340040185.
- Warner Marzocchi, Ilaria Spassiani, Angela Stallone, and Matteo Taroni. How to be fooled searching for significant variations of the b-value. *Geophysical Journal International*, 220(3):1845–1856, 11 2019. ISSN 0956-540X. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggz541. URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz541>.